

## STATUS OF AUDITS STARTED DURING 2008/09

Audit	Status	Audit Opinion
<b>Fundamental Systems</b>		
Payroll	Work in Progress	
Education FMS	Work in Progress	
Council Tax and NDR	Work in Progress	
<b>Non Fundamental Systems</b>		
Payments to Independent Providers	Draft with audit manager	
<b>Governance</b>		
Brockington Ramp	Final	n/a
Scheme of Delegation	Work in Progress	
<b>Other Key Systems</b>		
Members Register of Interests and Gifts	Final	Satisfactory
Cash Office/Post Opening	Final	Satisfactory
<b>ICT Protocols and Controls</b>		
E-Pop Cedar E Purchasing	Final	Good
Access Controls	Final	Satisfactory
Review of Computer Systems, Data, Applications and Skills CYPD and ICT Services	Final draft with client	
ISO 27001 Top level ICT Operation Procedures	Work in Progress	
ISO 27001 Risk Treatment	Work in Progress	
ISO 27001 Team Compliance	Work in Progress	
ISO 27001 Physical Security	Work in Progress	
Smart Phones	Work in Progress	
ISIS system	On going	
<b>Performance Management</b>		
Number of private sector dwellings returned into occupation (BVPI 64)	Final	Good
Speed of processing new claim to Housing Benefit/Council Tax Benefit. (BVPI 78a)	Final	Good
Speed of processing changes of circumstances to Housing Benefit/Council Tax Benefit. (BVPI 78b)	Final	Good
Accuracy of Housing Benefit/Council Tax Benefit (BVPI 79a)	Final	
Housing Waste Management (recycling) (BVPI 82a)	Draft with audit manager	
Housing Waste Management (Composting) (BVPI 82b)	Draft with audit manager	
Pedestrian crossings with facilities for disabled people (BVPI 165)	Final	Satisfactory
Average length of Stay in Bed and Breakfast (BVPI 183a)	Final	Satisfactory
Average length of stay in Hostels (BVPI 183b)	Final	Good
Local street and environmental cleanliness (BVPI 199abc )	Final	Satisfactory
Repeat Homelessness (BVPI 214)	Final	Good
Housing Strategy Statistical Appendix (HSSA) 2008 – Vacant Dwellings	Final	Satisfactory

## STATUS OF AUDITS STARTED DURING 2008/09

<b>Audit</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Audit Opinion</b>
<b>Schools Establishment Audits (DCSF Standard)</b>		
Trinity Primary School	Draft with audit manager	
Riverside Primary School	Draft with audit manager	
St. Thomas Cantilupe C.E Primary School	Draft with audit manager	
Blackmarston Special School	Final	Standard met
Ledbury Primary School	Final	Standard met
St Mary's C.E Primary School	Final	Standard met
Holmer C E. Primary School	Draft with audit manager	
Marlbrook Primary	Final	Standard met
St. Paul's C.E. Primary School	Final	Standard met
Lugwardine Primary School	Final	Standard met
Weobley Primary School	Audit deferred	
St. Francis Xavier R.C. School	Final	Standard met
Kingstone & Thruxton Primary School	Audit deferred	
Barrs Court Special School	Final draft	Standard met
Hampton Dene Primary	Draft with client	Standard met
Madley Primary School	Final	Action plan in place to meet the standard by end of November 2008
Much Birch C. E. Primary School	Final draft	Standard met
Orleton C. E. Primary School	Work in progress	
Dilwyn Primary School	Final Draft	Standard met
Broadlands Primary School	Work in progress	
The Minster - follow up	Final	Standard met
<b>Verification and Probity</b>		
Local Area Agreement Grant	Final	n/a
Flood Grant Claim	Final	n/a
<b>Recommendation Follow up</b>		
Telephone Usage	Draft with client	
Use of ICT Contractors	Work in progress	
ICT Petty Cash	Work in progress	
ICT FMS	Work in progress	
Housing Performance Indicators	Final	n/a
Household Waste Management Performance Indicator	Draft with audit manager	
Pedestrian Crossing Performance Indicator	Final	n/a
Street Cleanliness Performance Indicator	Final	n/a

## STATUS OF AUDITS STARTED DURING 2008/09

**Quantification and Classification of Internal Control Levels**

<b>Control Level</b>	<b>Definition</b>
<b>Good</b>	<b>A few minor recommendations (if any).</b>
<b>Satisfactory</b>	Minimal risk; a few areas identified where changes would be beneficial.
<b>Marginal</b>	<b>A number of areas have been identified for improvement.</b>
<b>Unsatisfactory</b>	Unacceptable risks identified, changes should be made.
<b>Unsound</b>	Major risks identified; fundamental improvements are required.

The Audit Opinion is based on a number of factors including the number of level 1 and, to a lesser extent, level 2 recommendations. Weighting is given to different aspects of the audit e.g. a high weighting for budgetary control. It is expected that larger systems and establishments will receive higher numbers of recommendations and allowance is made for this.